

On Proximality and Sets of Operators. I. Best Approximation by Finite Rank Operators

AREF KAMAL*

*Department of Mathematics, Birzeit University,
P.O. Box 14, Birzeit, West Bank, via Israel*

Communicated by E. W. Cheney

Received October 10, 1984

In this paper it is shown that $K_n(X, C_0, (Q))$ is proximal in $L(X, C_0(Q))$ when X^* is uniformly convex, thus solving Problem 5.2.3 of Deutsch, Mach and Saatkamp (*J. Approx. Theory* 33 (1981), 199-213). The solutions of Problem 5.2.5 of Deutsch, *et al.* and the of problem 5.B of Franchetti and Cheney (*Boll. Un Mat. Ital. B(5)* 18 (1981), 1003-1015) are also included. © 1986 Academic Press, Inc.

INTRODUCTION

If X and Y are normed linear spaces, then $L(X, Y)$ denotes the set of all bounded linear operators from X to Y , $K(X, Y)$ the set of all compact operators in $L(X, Y)$ and $K_n(X, Y)$ the set of all operators of rank $\leq n$ in $L(X, Y)$.

If A is a closed subset of the normed linear space X , then A is said to be the proximal in X if, for each $x \in X$, there is $y_0 \in A$ such that

$$\|x - y_0\| = d(x, A) = \inf\{\|x - y\|; y \in A\}.$$

If C is proximal in X the set-valued function

$$P_C: X \rightarrow 2^C \text{ defined by} \\ P_C(x) = \{y \in C; \|x - y\| = d(x, C)\}$$

is called the metric projection from X onto C . If there is a continuous function $g: X \rightarrow C$, such that $g(x) \in P_C(x)$ for each $x \in X$, then g is called a continuous selection for the metric projection P_C .

*Part of a thesis submitted for the Ph.D. degree at the University of Newcastle Upon Tyne, written under the supervision of Dr. A. L. Brown.

The proximality of $K(X, Y)$ in $L(X, Y)$ has been studied by several authors, but only a few authors have worked on the proximality of $K_n(X, Y)$ in $K(X, Y)$ or $L(X, Y)$.

It is easy to show that if Y^* is the dual space of Y , then for any normed linear space X , the set $K_n(X, Y^*)$ is proximal in $L(X, Y^*)$. Fakhoury [5] used the fact that for $1 < p < \infty$, the metric projection from l_p onto any of its finite dimensional subspaces is ω^* -continuous, to prove that for any Hausdorff topological space Q , the set $K_n(l_p, C_0(Q))$ is proximal in $L(l_p, C_0(Q))$. Deutsch *et al.* [3] used the fact that the metric projection from any strictly convex space X onto any of its finite-dimensional subspaces is continuous, to prove that if X^* is strictly convex and if Q is a Hausdorff topological space, then the set $K_n(X, C_0(Q))$ is a proximal in $K(K, C_0(Q))$. They also proved that if X^* is uniformly convex then $K_n(X, c_0)$ is proximal in $L(X, c_0)$.

This paper contains a further study for the proximality of $K_n(X, Y)$ in $L(X, Y)$ and $K(X, Y)$. The paper is divided into three sections:

Section one contains the necessary known results that will be used later, it contains also some definitions and notations. In section two it is shown that if X^* is uniformly convex and Q is a locally compact Hausdorff space, then $K_n(X, C_0(Q))$ is proximal in $L(X, C_0(Q))$. This result includes the results of Fakhoury [5] and Deutsch *et al.* [3], and gives a positive solution to Problem 5.2.3 of Deutsch *et al.* [3]. Section three deals with the proximality of $K_n(X, C_0(Q))$ in $K(X, C_0(Q))$ when $\dim X < \infty$. The main result is that, if X is a finite dimensional Banach space, then $K_n(X, C_0(Q))$ is proximal in $K(K, C_0(Q))$ for each locally compact Hausdorff space Q , if and only if for each n -dimensional subspace Y of X^* , the metric projection P_Y from X^* onto Y has a continuous selection. It is shown also that there is a Banach space X , a compact Hausdorff space Q and two positive integers $m \neq n$ such that $K_m(X, C(Q))$ is proximal in $L(X, C(Q))$ whereas $K_n(X, C(Q))$ is not. This gives a negative solution to Problem 5.2.5 of Deutsch *et al.* [3]. The space $C(Q, X)$ of all continuous bounded functions from Q to X , appears naturally in the proof of those results, and it is shown that there is a finite dimensional Banach space X , a subspace Y of X and a compact Hausdorff space Q , such that the set $C(Q, Y)$ is not proximal in $C(Q, X)$. This gives a negative solution to the problem 5.B of Franchetti and Cheney [6].

1. DEFINITIONS AND KNOWN RESULTS

If C is a subset of the normed linear space X , and $x \in X$ then $d(x, C) = \inf\{\|x - y\|; y \in C\}$ is the distance of x from C . If there is y_0 such that $\|x - y_0\| = d(x, C)$ then y_0 is called a "best approximation" from C . C is

said to be proximal in X if for each $x \in X$ there is $y \in C$ such that $\|x - y\| = d(x, C)$. It is easy to show that if C is a compact subset of the Banach space X , then C is proximal in X , it follows from this, that every finite-dimensional subspace Y of X is proximal in X .

If A and C are two subsets of the normed linear space X then

$$\delta(A, C) = \sup\{d(x, C); x \in A\}$$

is the deviation of A from C . For each nonnegative integer $n \geq 0$.

$$d_n(A, X) = \inf\{\delta(A, N); N \text{ is an } n\text{-dimensional subspace of } X\}$$

is the Kolmogrov n -width of A in X . If there is a subspace N_0 of X of dimension n , such that $d_n(A, X) = \delta(A, N_0)$, then N_0 is called an "extremal subspace for $d_n(A, X)$," and we say that $d_n(A, X)$ is attained.

If Q is a Hausdorff topological space and X is a normed linear space, then $B(Q, X)$ denotes the set of all bounded functions from Q to X . If τ is a topology on X then $C(Q, (X, \tau))$ denotes the set of all bounded functions from Q to X which are continuous with respect to τ . Furthermore, $C_0(Q, X) = \{f \in C(Q, (X, \|\cdot\|)); \forall \varepsilon > 0, \text{ the set } \{q \in Q; \|f(q)\| \geq \varepsilon\} \text{ is compact. When } X = R \text{ "the set of real numbers" then } B(Q, R) \text{ is denoted by } B(Q), \text{ and } C_0(Q, R) \text{ is denoted by } C_0(Q). \text{ If } X^* \text{ is the dual space of } X \text{ then}$

$$C_0(Q, (X^*, \omega^*)) = \{f \in C(Q, (X^*, \omega^*)); \hat{x} \circ f \in C_0(Q) \forall x \in X\},$$

where \hat{x} is the image of x under the canonical injection of X in X^{**} .

The following theorem will be used frequently in the next two sections.

1.1. THEOREM. *Let Q be a locally compact Hausdorff space and let X be a normed linear space. There is a mapping $\alpha: L(X, C_0(Q)) \rightarrow C_0(Q, (X^*, \omega^*))$ defined by*

$$\alpha(T)(q)(x) = T(x)(q) \quad \text{for } T \in L(X, C_0(Q)), \quad q \in Q \text{ and } x \in X.$$

The mapping α is an isometric isomorphism from $L(X, C_0(Q))$ onto $C_0(Q, (X^, \omega^*))$. Furthermore, $\alpha(K(X, C_0(Q))) = C_0(Q, X^*)$ and*

$$\alpha(K_n(X, C_0(Q))) = \bigcup_N \{C_0(Q, N); N \text{ is an } n\text{-dimensional subspace of } X^*\}.$$

The proof of this theorem, when Q is a compact Hausdorff space, can be found in Dunford and Schwartz [4, p.490].

From Theorem 1.1 one can obtain the following lemma:

1.2. LEMMA. *Let Q be a locally compact Hausdorff space and let X be a normed linear space.*

(a) $K(X, C_0(Q))$ is proximal in $L(X, C_0(Q))$, iff $C_0(Q, X^*)$ is proximal in $C_0(Q, (X^*, \omega^*))$.

(b) $K_n(X, C_0(Q))$ is proximal in $L(X, C_0(Q))$ [resp. $K(X, C_0(Q))$], iff the set

$$\bigcup_N \{C_0(Q, N); N \text{ is an } n\text{-dimensional subspace of } X^*\}$$

is proximal in $C_0(Q, (X^*, \omega^*))$ [resp. $C_0(Q, X^*)$]

1.3. DEFINITION. Let Q be a locally compact Hausdorff space and let X be a normed linear space. If $f \in B(Q, X)$ and n is a nonnegative integer let $a_n(f) = \inf\{d(f, C_0(Q, N)); N \text{ is an } n\text{-dimensional subspace of } X\}$.

It follows from Lemma 1.2 that $K_n(X, C_0(Q))$ is proximal in $L(X, C_0(Q))$ [resp. $K(X, C_0(Q))$] iff $a_n(f)$ is attained for each $f \in C_0(Q, (X^*, \omega^*))$ [resp. $C_0(Q, X^*)$]. The proximality of $K_n(X, C_0(Q))$ in $K(X, C_0(Q))$ was studied by Deutsch, Mack and Saatkamp [3], and the following theorem is due to them.

1.4. THEOREM. Let X be a normed linear space, Q a locally compact Hausdorff space and let $n \geq 1$ be a positive integer. If the metric projection P_Y from X^* onto any n -dimensional subspace Y of X^* has a continuous selection, then the set $K_n(X, C_0(Q))$ is proximal in $K(X, C_0(Q))$.

Theorem 1.4 is not vacuous since Brown [1] showed that, if X is strictly convex space, or if X is a polyhedral finite-dimensional Banach space, then the metric projection from X onto any finite-dimensional subspace Y of X has a continuous selection.

The closed subspace M of $C_0(Q, X)$ is called a closed $C_0(Q)$ -submodule, if for each $f \in M$, and each $g \in C_0(Q)$, the element $g \cdot f \in M$, where $g \cdot f(q) = g(q) \cdot f(q)$. It is obvious that if N is a closed subspace of X , then $C_0(Q, N)$ is a closed $C_0(Q)$ -submodule in $C_0(Q, X)$. The following theorem deals with the proximality of $C_0(Q)$ -submodules in $B(Q, X)$.

1.5. THEOREM. (Lau [7]). If Q is a locally compact Hausdorff space, and X is a uniformly convex space, then for any bounded function $f: Q \rightarrow X$ and any closed $C_0(Q)$ -submodule M in $C_0(Q, X)$, there is $g_0 \in M$ such that

$$\|f - g_0\| = d(f, M).$$

2. $K_n(X, C_0(Q))$ IS PROXIMAL IN $L(X, C_0(Q))$
WHEN X^* IS UNIFORMLY CONVEX

In this section it will be shown that if X^* is uniformly convex and Q is a locally compact Hausdorff space, then $K_n(X, C_0(Q))$ is proximal in $L(X, C_0(Q))$. After appealing to Lemma 1.2, the main step in the proof is to find for each $f \in C_0(Q, (X^*, \omega^*))$ an n -dimensional subspace N_0 of X^* , such that

$$d(f, C_0(Q, N_0)) = a_n(f).$$

Lemma 2.4 gives an estimate for $d(f, C_0(Q, N))$, and Lemma 2.8 shows that for some n -dimensional subspace N_0 of X^* this estimate is less than or equal to $a_n(f)$.

2.1. DEFINITION. Let X be a Banach space, Q a Hausdorff topological space and $f: Q \rightarrow X$ a bounded function. For $q_0 \in Q$, $x \in X$ and $A \subseteq X$, let

(1) $r(f, q_0, x) = \inf_U \sup_{q \in U} \|f(q) - x\|$, where U ranges through all the neighbourhoods of q_0 in Q ,

(2) $r(f, q_0, A) = \inf_{x \in A} r(f, q_0, x)$,

(3) $r(f, A) = \sup_{q_0 \in Q} r(f, q_0, A)$.

2.2. DEFINITION. For Q a locally compact Hausdorff space, X a Banach space and $f: Q \rightarrow X$ a bounded function define

$$b(f) = \inf \{ \alpha \geq 0; \text{there is a compact subset } K \text{ in } Q \text{ with } \|f(q)\| < \alpha \\ \text{for all } q \notin K \}.$$

2.3. LEMMA. Let X be a Banach space, Q a locally compact Hausdorff space and $f: Q \rightarrow X$ a bounded function. For any positive integer $n \geq 1$, any n -dimensional subspace N of X and any $q \in Q$.

(1) $r(f, q, X) \leq r(f, q, N) \leq r(f, N) \leq d(f, C_0(Q, N))$,

(2) $b(f) \leq d(f, C_0(Q, N))$,

(3) $r(f, q, X) \leq a_n(f)$.

Proof. (1) It is obvious that $r(f, q, X) \leq r(f, q, N) \leq r(f, N)$. So it is enough to show that $r(f, N) \leq d(f, C_0(Q, N))$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. There is $g \in C_0(Q, N)$ such that

$$\|f - g\| \leq d(f, C_0(Q, N)) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let $q_0 \in Q$. There is a neighbourhood U_0 of q_0 such that

$$\|g(q) - g(q_0)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{for all } q \in U_0,$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} r(f, q_0, N) &\leq r(f, q_0, g(q_0)) \leq \sup_{q \in U_0} \|f(q) - g(q_0)\| \\ &\leq \sup_{q \in U_0} \|f(q) - g(q)\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq \|f - g\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq d(f, C_0(Q, N)) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since q_0 and ε are arbitrary, it follows that $r(f, N) \leq d(f, C_0(Q, N))$.

(2) Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. There is $g \in C_0(Q, N)$ such that

$$\|f - g\| \leq d(f, C_0(Q, N)) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

For the same ε , there is a compact subset K of Q with $\|g(q)\| < \varepsilon/2$ for each $q \notin K$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} b(f) &\leq \sup_{q \notin K} \|f(q)\| \leq \sup_{q \notin K} \|f(q) - g(q)\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq \|f - g\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &\leq d(f, C_0(Q)) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

(3) Follows from (1). ■

2.4. LEMMA. *Let X be a Banach space, Q a locally compact Hausdorff space and $f: Q \rightarrow X$ a bounded function. If N is an n -dimensional subspace of X then*

$$d(f, C_0(Q, N)) = \max\{r(f, N), b(f)\}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.3 $d(f, C_0(Q, N)) \geq \max\{r(f, N), b(f)\}$, thus it is enough to show that $\max\{r(f, N), b(f)\} \geq d(f, C_0(Q, N))$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. There is a compact set $K \subseteq Q$ such that $\|f(q)\| \leq b(f) + \varepsilon$ for each $q \notin K$. By the compactness of K and the definition of $r(f, q, N)$, one can find $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^m \subseteq K$, $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^m \subseteq N$ and $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^m$ an open cover for K in Q , such that:

- (1) $q_i \in U_i$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$,
- (2) $\|f(q) - y_i\| \leq r(f, q_i, N) + \varepsilon$ for each $q \in U_i$, and
- (3) U_i is relatively compact for for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Let $U_{m+1} = Q/K$ and let $y_{m+1} = 0$, then for each $q \in U_{m+1}$

$$\|f(q) - y_{m+1}\| = \|f(q)\| \leq b(f) + \varepsilon.$$

Let $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^{m+1}$ be a partition of unity corresponding to $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{m+1}$, and define the function $g: Q \rightarrow N$ by

$$g(q) = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \phi_i(q) y_i \quad \text{for } q \in Q.$$

Then g is continuous, and since $\bigcup_{i=1}^m U_i$ is relatively compact, it follows that $g \in C_0(Q, N)$. It follows from the above argument that, for each $q \in Q$, if $q \in U_i$ then $\|f(q) - y_i\| \leq \max\{r(f, N), b(f)\} + \varepsilon$. Also if $\phi_i(q) \neq 0$ then $q \in U_i$. Thus for each $q \in Q$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(q) - g(q)\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \phi_i(q)(f(q) - y_i) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum \phi_i(q)(f(q) - y_i) \right\| \quad \{i; \phi_i(q) \neq 0\} \\ &\leq \sum \phi_i(q) \|f(q) - y_i\| \quad \{i; \phi_i(q) \neq 0\} \\ &\leq \max\{r(f, N), b(f)\} + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

So $\|f - g\| \leq \max\{r(f, N), b(f)\} + \varepsilon$. Since ε is arbitrary then

$$d(f, C_0(Q, N)) \leq \max\{r(f, N), b(f)\}. \quad \blacksquare$$

2.5. COROLLARY. *Let X be a Banach space, Q a locally compact Hausdorff space and let N be an n -dimensional subspace of X . For each $f \in C_0(Q, X)$*

$$d(f, C_0(Q, N)) = \delta(f(Q), N).$$

Proof. If $f \in C_0(Q, X)$ then $b(f) = 0$, so by Lemma 2.4

$$d(f, C_0(Q, N)) = r(f, N).$$

Thus to complete the proof it is enough to show that for each $q \in Q$ the equality $d(f(q), N) = r(f, q, N)$ holds, but this follows from the continuity of f .

2.6. DEFINITION. Let X be a Banach space, Q a Hausdorff topological space and $f: Q \rightarrow X$ a bounded function. For each $q \in Q$ define

$$\Gamma_n(f, q) = \{x \in X; r(f, q, x) \leq a_n(f)\},$$

and for $i \geq 1$ define

$$\Gamma_n(f, q, i) = \left\{ x \in X; r(f, q, x) \leq a_n(f) + \frac{1}{i} \right\}.$$

It is clear that if there is an n -dimensional subspace N of X , such that $C_0(Q, N)$ contains a function g for which $\|f - g\| = a_n(f)$, then

$$g(q) \in \Gamma_n(f, q) \cap N,$$

that is, for each $q \in Q$ the set $\Gamma_n(f, q) \cap N$ is not empty.

It will be shown in Lemma 2.8 that if X is a reflexive Banach space, then there is an n -dimensional subspace N_0 such that $\Gamma_n(f, q) \cap N_0 \neq \emptyset$ for each $q \in Q$, this and the results of Lau [7] will be used in Theorem 2.10 to show that, if X is uniformly convex then $a_n(f)$ is attained.

2.7. LEMMA. *Let X be a Banach space, Q a Hausdorff topological space and $f: Q \rightarrow X$ a bounded function. For each $q \in Q$, the sets $\Gamma_n(f, q)$ and $\Gamma_n(f, q, i)$ are closed and convex. If X is reflexive then they are ω -compact. Furthermore, for each $q \in Q$ the following statements are satisfied:*

- (1) *If $i < j$ then $\Gamma_n(f, q, j) \subseteq \Gamma_n(f, q, i)$;*
- (2) *$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_n(f, q, i) = \Gamma_n(f, q)$;*
- (3) *if X is reflexive, $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence in X such that $x_i \in \Gamma_n(f, q, i)$ for each $i \geq 1$, and x_0 is a ω -cluster point for the sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, then $x_0 \in \Gamma_n(f, q)$.*

Proof. (2) It is clear that, for each positive integer $i \geq 1$, $\Gamma_n(f, q) \subseteq \Gamma_n(f, q, i)$. Thus $\Gamma_n(f, q) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_n(f, q, i)$. On the other hand if $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_n(f, q, i)$, then $r(f, q, x) \leq a_n(f) + (1/i)$ for each $i \geq 1$ and, therefore $r(f, q, x) \leq a_n(f)$. Thus $x \in \Gamma_n(f, q)$.

(3) Since X is reflexive the sets $\Gamma_n(f, q, i)$ and $\Gamma_n(f, q)$ are ω -compact. Assume that x_0 is a ω -cluster point for the sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and that $x_i \in \Gamma_n(f, q, i)$ for each $i \geq 1$, it follows from part (1) of this lemma that for each positive integer $j_0 \geq 1$, the subsequence $\{x_i\}_{i=j_0}^{\infty}$ lies in $\Gamma_n(f, q, j_0)$, but $\Gamma_n(f, q, j)$ is ω -compact, so $x_0 \in \Gamma_n(f, q, j_0)$, therefore,

$$x_0 \in \bigcap_{j_0=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_n(f, q, j_0) = \Gamma_n(f, q).$$

The proof of the next lemma depends on the existence of an Auerbach basis in each finite-dimensional Banach space. Let X be a Banach space of dimension n . An Auerbach system on X is a basis $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ in X with $\|x_i\| = 1$ for each i , and a basis $\{x_i^*\}_{i=1}^n$ in X^* with $\|x_i^*\| = 1$ for each i ,

such that $x_i^*(x_j) = \delta_{ij}$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. In this case $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is called an Auerbach basis in X . ■

2.8. LEMMA. *Let X be a reflexive Banach space, Q a Hausdorff space and $f: Q \rightarrow X$ a bounded function. For each nonnegative integer $n \geq 0$ there is an n -dimensional subspace N_0 of X , such that for each $q \in Q$ the set $\Gamma_n(f, q) \cap N_0$ is not empty.*

Proof. For each positive integer $i \geq 1$, there is an n -dimensional subspace N_i and a function $g_i \in C_0(Q, N_i)$ such that $\|f - g_i\| \leq a_n(f) + (1/i)$. Let $\{x_i^1, x_i^2, \dots, x_i^n\}$ an Auerbach basis for N_i . There is $\{g_i^1, \dots, g_i^n\} \in C_0(Q)$ such that $g_i(q) = \sum_{k=1}^n g_i^k(q) x_i^k$. Clearly for each $q \in Q$ the point $g_i(q) = \sum_{k=1}^n g_i^k(q) x_i^k \in \Gamma_n(f, q, i)$. Since $\{x_i^1, \dots, x_i^n\}$ is an Auerbach basis, the sequence $\{(g_i^1, \dots, g_i^n)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a bounded sequence in $\prod_{i=1}^\infty B(Q)$. Let $Z = \prod_{i=1}^\infty X \times \prod_{i=1}^\infty B(Q)$ be the topological vector space obtained by giving X its weak topology, and identifying $B(Q)$ in the standard way with $l_1^*(Q)$ with the ω^* -topology. Then the sequence $\{(x_i^1, \dots, x_i^n, g_i^1, \dots, g_i^n)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ has a cluster point $(x_0^1, \dots, x_0^n, g_0^1, \dots, g_0^n)$ in Z . Let N_0 be an n -dimensional subspace of X that contains $\{x_0^1, \dots, x_0^n\}$. It will be shown that for any $q_0 \in Q$ the point $\sum_{k=1}^n g_0^k(q_0) x_0^k \in \Gamma_n(f, q_0) \cap N_0$. To prove this it is enough by Lemma 2.7 to show that the point $\sum_{k=1}^n g_0^k(q_0) x_0^k$ is a ω -cluster point for the sequence $\{\sum_{k=1}^n g_i^k(q_0) x_i^k\}_{i=1}^\infty$ in X . Let $y \neq 0$ be an element in X^* , and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since $(x_0^1, \dots, x_0^n, g_0^1, \dots, g_0^n)$ is a cluster point for the sequence $\{(x_i^1, \dots, x_i^n, g_i^1, \dots, g_i^n)\}_{i=1}^\infty$, it follows that there is an infinite subset M of positive integers, such that for each $i \in M$

- (1) $|\sum_{k=1}^n g_0^k(q_0) y(x_0^k) - \sum_{k=1}^n g_i^k(q_0) y(x_i^k)| \leq \varepsilon/2$, and
- (2) for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$|g_0^k(q_0) - g_i^k(q_0)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2n \cdot \|y\|}.$$

Then for $i \in M$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{k=1}^n g_0^k(q_0) y(x_0^k) - \sum_{k=1}^n g_i^k(q_0) y(x_i^k) \right| \\ & \leq \left| \sum_{k=1}^n g_0^k(q_0) y(x_0^k) - \sum_{k=1}^n g_0^k(q_0) y(x_i^k) \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \sum_{k=1}^n g_0^k(q_0) y(x_i^k) - \sum_{k=1}^n g_i^k(q_0) y(x_i^k) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{n \|y\| \cdot \varepsilon}{2n \|y\|} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the point $\sum_{k=1}^n g_0(q_0) x_0^k$ is a ω -cluster point for the sequence $\{\sum_{k=1}^n g_i^k(q_0) x_i^k\}_{i=1}^\infty$ in X . ■

2.9. COROLLARY. *Let X, Q , and f be as in Lemma 2.8. There is an n -dimensional subspace N_0 of X , such that*

$$r(f, N_0) \leq a_n(f).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.8 there is an n -dimensional subspace N_0 of X such that $N_0 \cap \Gamma_n(f, q) \neq \emptyset$ for each $q \in Q$. Thus for each $q \in Q$ there is $y \in N_0$ satisfying the inequality; $r(f, q, y) \leq a_n(f)$, but then

$$r(f, N_0) = \sup_{q \in Q} r(f, q, N_0) \leq a_n(f). \quad \blacksquare$$

2.10. THEOREM. *If X is a uniformly convex space, Q is a locally compact Hausdorff space and $f: Q \rightarrow X$ is a bounded function then $a_n(f)$ is attained.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.4 for any n -dimensional subspace N of X

$$d(f, C_0(Q, N)) = \max\{r(f, N), b(f)\},$$

and by Corollary 2.9 there is an n -dimensional subspace N_0 of X satisfying $r(f, N_0) \leq a_n(f)$. Thus by Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{aligned} a_n(f) &\leq d(f, C_0(Q, N_0)) = \max\{r(f, N_0), b(f)\} \\ &\leq \max\{a_n(f), b(f)\} = a_n(f). \end{aligned}$$

So $a_n(f) = d(f, C_0(Q, N_0))$, hence by Theorem 1.5 and the fact that $C_0(Q, N_0)$ is a closed submodule in $C_0(Q, X)$, it follows that there is $g_0 \in C_0(Q, N_0)$ such that $\|f - g\| = d(f, C_0(Q, N_0)) = a_n(f)$. ■

2.11. COROLLARY. *If X^* is uniformly convex space and Q is a locally compact Hausdorff space then for each nonnegative integer $n \geq 0$ the set $K_n(X, C_0(Q))$ is proximal in $L(X, C_0(Q))$.*

Proof. A consequence of Theorem 2.10 and Lemma 1.2. ■

Corollary 2.11 was known before for $X^* = l_p$ $1 < p < \infty$ and for $C_0(Q) = c_0$. Fakhoury [5] proved that for $1 < p < \infty$ the set $K_n(l_p, C_0(Q))$ is proximal in $L(l_p, C_0(Q))$, and Deutsch, Mach and Saatkamp [3] proved that $K_n(X, c_0)$ is proximal in $L(X, c_0)$ when X^* is uniformly convex. This corollary gives a positive solution to the problem 5.2.3 in Deutsch Mach and Saatkamp [3].

3. THE PROXIMALITY OF $K_n(X, C_0(Q))$ IN $K(X, C_0(Q))$ WHEN X IS OF FINITE DIMENSION

3.1. LEMMA. *Let X be a finite dimensional Banach space, M and N be two subspaces of X , such that $M + N = X$ and $M \cap N = \{0\}$, let S_M be the unit sphere of M and let P_N be the metric projection from X onto N . Then any continuous selection for $P_{N|S_M}$ can be extended to a continuous selection for P_N .*

Proof. Assume that $g: S_M \rightarrow N$ is a continuous selection for $P_{N|S_M}$ and define $f: M \rightarrow N$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \|x\| g\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

The f is a continuous selection for $P_{N|M}$. Since $\dim X < \infty$, $M + N = X$ and $M \cap N = \{0\}$, it follows that there is bounded projection $P: X \rightarrow N$ with $P(M) = \{0\}$. Let $h = f \circ (I - P) + P$. The h is a continuous function from X onto N , and for each $x \in M$ and each $y \in N$

$$h(x + y) = f(x) + y \in P_N(x) + y = P_N(x + y)$$

So h is a continuous selection for the metric projection P_N . ■

3.2. LEMMA. *Let X be a finite-dimensional Banach space, and let N be a subspace of X . If the metric projection P_N has no continuous selection, then there is a compact Hausdorff space Q' , such that $C(Q', N)$ is not proximal in $C(Q', X)$, that there is a function $f \in C(Q', X)$, such that $d(f, C(Q', N))$ is not attained.*

Proof. Let M be a subspace of X such that $M + N = X$ and $M \cap N = \{0\}$, and let $Q' = S_M$. By Lemma 3.1 there is no continuous function $g: Q' \rightarrow N$, such that $g(x) \in P_N(x)$ for each $x \in Q'$. Since $M \cap N = \{0\}$ then, for each $x \in Q'$, $d(x, N) \neq 0$. Define $f: Q' \rightarrow X$ by

$$f(x) = \frac{x}{d(x, N)}.$$

Since $d(x, N)$ is a continuous function, it follows that the function f is continuous, and $\delta(f(Q'), N) = 1$. Therefore, by Corollary 2.5

$$d(f, C(Q', N)) = 1.$$

Assume that there is a continuous function $h: Q' \rightarrow N$, such that $\|f - h\| = 1$, and define $g: Q' \rightarrow N$ by

$$g(x) = d(x, N) \cdot h(x).$$

then g is continuous and for each $x \in Q'$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - g(x)\| &= \|d(x, N) f(x) - d(x, N) h(x)\| \\ &= d(x, N) \|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq d(x, N). \end{aligned}$$

But this contradicts Lemma 3.1, so $C(Q', N)$ is not proximal in $C(Q', X)$. ■

3.3. LEMMA. *Let X be a finite-dimensional Banach space, let $n \geq 1$ be a positive integer such that $\dim X > n$, and let N be an n -dimensional subspace of X . There is a compact subset A of X , such that $d_n(A, X) \neq 0$ and N is the unique extremal subspace for $d_n(A, X)$.*

Proof. Let B_X [resp. B_N] be the closed unit ball of X [resp. N], and let A be the balanced convex hull of $B_X + B_N$, then $d_n(A, X) = \delta(A, N) = 1$. It will be shown that N is the unique extremal subspace for $d_n(A, X)$. Let $N' \neq N$ any n -dimensional subspace of X , then $\delta(B_N, N') > 0$. Let $y \in B_N$ be such that $d(y, N') > 0$, let $y' \in N'$ be such that $\|y - y'\| = d(y, N')$, and let $x = y + ((y - y')/\|y - y'\|)$. Then $x \in A$, and

$$d(x, N') = d(y - y' + \frac{(y - y')}{\|y - y'\|} + y', N') = 1 + d(y, N') > 1.$$

Thus $\delta(A, N') \geq d(x, N') > 1$, therefore N is the unique extremal subspace for $d_n(A, X)$. ■

3.4. LEMMA. *Let X be a finite-dimensional Banach space, and let $n \geq 1$ be a positive integer. If there is an n -dimensional subspace N of X^* , such that the metric projection P_N has no continuous selection, then there is a compact Hausdorff space Q , such that $K_n(X, C(Q))$ is not proximal in $L(X, C(Q))$.*

Proof. Since X is of finite dimension then by Lemma 1.2 it is enough to show that there is a compact Hausdorff space Q , such that the set

$$\{g \in C(Q, X^*); g(Q) \text{ lies in an } n\text{-dimensional subspace of } X^*\}$$

is not proximal in $C(Q, X^*)$.

Let Q' and f be as in Lemma 3.2 applied to X^* and N , let A be as in Lemma 3.3, and let Q be the disjoint topological union of Q' and A .

Without loss of generality assume that $d(f, C(Q', N)) = 1$ and $d_n(A, X) = 1$. Define $h: Q \rightarrow X$ by

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in Q' \\ x & \text{if } x \in A. \end{cases}$$

Then h is continuous, and

$$a_n(h) \leq d(h, C(Q, N)) = \delta(h(Q), N) = 1.$$

Let N' be an n -dimensional subspace of X^* such that $d(h, C(Q, N')) \leq 1$, and assume that there is $g \in C(Q, N')$ such that $\|h - g\| \leq 1$, then

$$\delta(A, N') \leq \|h|_A - g|_A\| \leq 1.$$

so by Lemma 3.3 $N' = N$, but then $g|_{Q'}$ is continuous on Q' and

$$\|f - g|_{Q'}\| \leq d(f, C(Q', N)),$$

which contradicts Lemma 3.2. So $K_n(X, C(Q))$ is not proximal in $L(X, C(Q))$. ■

3.5. THEOREM. *Let X be a finite-dimensional Banach space. Then $K_n(X, C_0(Q))$ is proximal in $L(X, C_0(Q))$ for each locally compact Hausdorff space Q , iff the metric projection from X^* onto any of its n -dimensional subspaces has a continuous selection.*

Proof. Since $\dim X < \infty$ then $L(X, C_0(Q)) = K(X, C_0(Q))$, therefore, the theorem follows from Theorem 1.4 and Lemma 3.4. ■

3.6. Note. Brown [2] proved that there is a finite-dimensional space, that contains subspaces for which the metric projection has no continuous selection. This means that Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.4, and Theorem 3.5 are not vacuous.

3.7. COROLLARY. *Let X be an m -dimensional Banach space. For any locally compact Hausdorff space Q , $K_{m-1}(X, C_0(Q))$ is proximal in $L(X, C_0(Q))$.*

Proof. Follows from Theorem 3.5 and the fact that if N is a hyperplane in a finite-dimensional Banach space X then the metric projection P_N from X onto N has a continuous selection. ■

3.8. COROLLARY. *There is a finite-dimensional Banach space X , a subspace N of X and a compact Hausdorff space Q , such that $C(Q, N)$ is not proximal in $C(Q, X)$.*

Proof. Follows from Lemma 3.2 and Note 3.6. ■

3.9. COROLLARY. *There is a finite dimensional Banach space X , a compact Hausdorff space Q and two positive integers m and n , such that $K_n(X, C(Q))$ is not proximal in $L(X, C(Q))$, whereas $K_m(X, C(Q))$ is proximal in $L(X, C(Q))$.*

Proof. Follows from Theorem 3.5, Note 3.6, and Corollary 3.7. ■

Corollary 3.8 gives a negative solution to problem 5.B of Franchetti and Cheney [6], and corollary 3.9 gives a solution to Problem 5.2.5. of Deutsch *et al.* [3].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to thank his supervisor Dr. A. L. Brown for his valuable advice and constant support during the course of this research.

REFERENCES

1. A. L. BROWN, Best n -dimensional approximation to sets of functions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **14** (1964), 577–594.
2. A. L. BROWN, "Some Problems in Linear Analysis," Ph.D. dissertation, Cambridge, 1961.
3. F. DEUTSCH, J. MACH, AND K. SAATKAMP, Approximation by finite rank operators, *J. Approx. Theory* **33** (1981), 199–213.
4. N. DUNFORD AND J. SCHWARTZ, "Linear Operators I," Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1958.
5. H. FAKHOURY, Approximation des bornes dun espace de Banach par des Compacts et Application al Approximation des Operateurs bornes, *J. Approx. Theory* **26** (1979), 79–100.
6. C. FRANCHETTI AND E. CHENEY, Best approximation problem for multivariate functions, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B(5)* **18** (1981), 1003–1015.
7. K. LAU, Approximation by continuous vector valued functions, *Studia Math.* **68** (1980), 291–298.